

Periodic Review of Existing Rules

N.C. Gen. Stat. 150B-21.3A established a periodic review by State agencies of their existing administrative rules pursuant to a schedule determined by the Rules Review Commission (RRC). The North Carolina Psychology Board is required to make an initial classification of each rule from one of the following three classifications:

1. Necessary with substantive public interest -- means any rule for which the agency has received public comments within the past two years or the rule affects the property interest of the regulated public and the agency knows or suspects that any person may object to the rule.
2. Necessary without substantive public interest -- means a rule that is needed and the rule has not received public comments within the past two years. This category also includes identifying information that is readily available to the public, such as an address or a telephone number.
3. Unnecessary -- means a rule that the agency determines to be obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed.

In compliance with this statute, the North Carolina Psychology Board has conducted a review of the Board's existing rules and made an initial determination as to the classification of each rule, as set forth in the preliminary Periodic Review and Expiration of Existing Rules Report ("Report") located on the link below. The North Carolina Psychology Board's rules are located in Title 21, Chapter 54 of the N.C. Administrative Code.

[Existing Rules Report](#)

The public comment period concerning the Board's initial classifications of these rules begins on May 17, 2017 and ends at 5:00 p.m. on July 17, 2017. Public comments shall be in writing and shall be directed to:

Daniel P. Collins
North Carolina Psychology Board
895 State Farm Road, Ste. 101
Boone, NC 28607

Public comments also may be emailed to dcollins@ncpsychologyboard.org. "Public comment" is defined by G.S. 150B-21.3A(a)(5) as a written objection to all or part of a rule. Additionally, pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3A(c)(2), in order for the Rules Review Commission to determine whether the public comment has merit, the public comment must address the specific substance of the rule and address any of the standards of Commission review, as set forth in G.S. 150B-21.9(a).

Note: Individual comments will not receive a personal reply. However, the Board will provide its response to each comment in the final report that is submitted to the RRC at the conclusion of the comment period.